

## Reversing the drift of the Ehrenfest urn model and three conditionings

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2009 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 345005

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/42/34/345005>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.155

The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 08:04

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

# Reversing the drift of the Ehrenfest urn model and three conditionings

**Thierry Huillet**

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Modélisation, CNRS-UMR 8089 et Université de Cergy-Pontoise, 2 Avenue Adolphe Chauvin, F-95302, Cergy-Pontoise, France

E-mail: [Thierry.Huillet@u-cergy.fr](mailto:Thierry.Huillet@u-cergy.fr)

Received 7 June 2009, in final form 16 July 2009

Published 10 August 2009

Online at [stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/42/345005](http://stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/42/345005)

## Abstract

We consider a drift-reversed version of the celebrated Ehrenfest urn process with  $N$  balls. For this ‘dual’ process, the boundaries are assumed to be absorbing and so the killing times at the boundaries play a central role. Three natural conditionings on the fixation/extinction events pertaining to this model are investigated. Some spectral information on the conditioned Markoff chains is obtained, allowing us to draw precise new conclusions on their limiting behaviors.

PACS numbers: 05.40.-a, 02.50.Ey, 05.70.Ln

## 1. Introduction and outline of the results

The Ehrenfest urn model (alias ‘dogs and fleas’) is a famous physical ball-in-box model for the heat exchange between two bodies (urns) at unequal temperature (the number of balls labeled 1 to  $N$  within each urn); see [7]. It was designed to elucidate some paradoxes raised by Boltzmann while considering the kinetic theory of gases, among which is irreversibility versus recurrence of states; see [18] for a review. The relevant state  $X_n$  counts the number of balls within say urn 1 after  $n$  steps, one interchanging step consisting in selecting at random a number within  $\{1, \dots, N\}$ , determining the urn where the ball with this number is and moving it to the other urn. As is well known,  $X_n$  is a standard positive recurrent, 2-periodic birth-and-death (BD) Markoff chain with state space  $\{0, \dots, N\}$ . This simple model is an exactly solvable Markoff chain because its spectral representation was completely determined by Kac and Krawtchouk, among others. For instance, the composition of urn 1 probability at each time is exactly known; see [8, 10, 13, 15]. The mathematician Mark Kac wrote about this model that it was: ‘... probably one of the more instructive models in the whole of Physics ...’, [14].

In this paper, we shall be concerned with a related ball-in-box model which is ‘dual’ to that of Ehrenfest in the sense that the chain local probabilities of moving up and down are switched in the bulk of the state space, which amounts to reversing the drift of  $X_n$ ; see [5], where this type of duality was coined the ‘Wall duality’, although there it concerns BD chains evolving on a semi-infinite state space with a reflecting barrier. For our drift-reversed model, the boundaries  $\partial := \{0, N\}$  will be assumed to be absorbing. In terms of stochastics, this problem may be recast as a new BD Markoff chain, say  $\widehat{X}_n$ , still with state space  $\{0, \dots, N\}$  but which is being absorbed at  $\partial$ . We shall, therefore, let  $\widehat{\tau}_x := \inf(n : \widehat{X}_n \in \partial \text{ given } \widehat{X}_0 = x)$  be the first time  $\partial$  is hit when started at  $x$ . This model was first considered in [11] from a purely combinatorial point of view and was called the ‘Mabinogion urn model’ for reasons explained therein. The physical motivations were to design a simple model of the spread of influences amongst versatile populations and draw some conclusions about the hitting times of the boundaries for various initial conditions of interest, together with some limit laws as  $N$  gets large. Again, see [11] for additional physical motivations.

We wish to shed some new light on this dual process by focusing on conditioning  $\widehat{X}_n$  on the fixation/extinction events at the boundaries. We refer to [2, 12] for similar concerns in population genetics.

We shall, therefore, investigate the three following conditional problems pertaining to  $\widehat{X}_n$  and of general interest.

- (i) Consider the drift-reversed urn process  $\widehat{X}_n$ , started at  $\widehat{X}_0 = x \in \{1, \dots, N - 1\}$ . Recall  $\widehat{\tau}_x$  is its absolute extinction time at  $\partial$ . Then, conditional on the event  $(\widehat{\tau}_x > n)$ ,  $\widehat{X}_n$  turns out to be a positive recurrent Markov chain on  $\{1, \dots, N - 1\}$  whose Yaglom invariant (stationary) measure will be shown to be uniform. This measure is also the quasi-stationary distribution (qsd) of the chain. We refer to [4] for an introduction to similar concerns in the same framework.
- (ii) A more stringent conditioning is also investigated: assuming the chain  $\widehat{X}_n$  given  $\widehat{X}_0 = x$ , to be conditioned on never getting killed in the distant future, this conditional process is also a positive recurrent Markov chain on  $\{1, \dots, N - 1\}$  but whose invariant measure will now be shown to be binomial  $\text{bin}(N - 2, 1/2)$ . Curiously, its probability transition matrix turns out to be that of a reversible Ehrenfest urn process but with  $N - 2$  balls.

Both results make use of a simple duality result displayed in lemma 1. This property makes the invariant laws of the conditioned processes explicit which is a rare event in the context of qsds for finite BD chains; see [4, 17].

- (iii) Finally, we shall consider the drift-reversed random walk (RW)  $\widehat{X}_n$  conditioned on exit through state  $\{N\}$  using an appropriate Doob transform built on the harmonic (or scale) function of the chain. We shall use this transformation to give some information on the time it takes for this conditioned RW to undergo a sweep, that is to move from one endpoint of the state space to the other opposite absorbing state. Our results constitute a drift-reversed refined version of a result displayed in [1] for the usual Ehrenfest model.

## 2. Preliminary information: drift reversal of the Ehrenfest urn model

The Ehrenfest urn model is a BD process on  $\{0, \dots, N\}$ , say  $X_n$ , whose up and down local transition probabilities  $X_n \rightarrow X_n \pm 1$  given  $X_n = x$  are given by  $p_x = 1 - x/N$ ,  $x = 0, \dots, N - 1$ ,  $q_x = x/N$ ,  $x = 1, \dots, N$ . Its one-step transition matrix, therefore, is of the Jacobi type (empty entries are 0):





If the process is started with  $\mu$ , the law of the hitting time  $\widehat{\tau}$  of  $\partial$  is *exactly* geometric ( $\rho$ ) distributed on  $\{2, 4, \dots\}$ .

Consider now the conditional probability  $\mathbb{P}_x(\widehat{X}_{2n} = y \mid \widehat{\tau}_x > 2n)$ . One can easily check from (2)–(4) that, independently of the starting point  $x$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\widehat{X}_{2n} = y \mid \widehat{\tau}_x > 2n) \xrightarrow{n \uparrow \infty} \mu(y).$$

As such, the probability measure  $\mu$  can be interpreted as a Yaglom limit of  $\widehat{X}_n$ ; see [19]. Furthermore, for each  $n$

$$\mathbb{P}_\mu(\widehat{X}_{2n} = y \mid \widehat{\tau} > n) = \mu(y), \quad y \in \{1, 2, \dots, N - 1\},$$

and  $\mu$  is the (unique) qsd of  $\widehat{X}_n$ . As is well known for Markov chains with finite state space, the Yaglom limit coincides with the qsd; see [3, 17] for qsd examples in much more general contexts.

Applying these general ideas to the Mabinogion urn model, one obtains

**Theorem 1.**

(i) *For the Mabinogion urn model, the Yaglom limit of the process conditioned on not yet being killed at the current time is the uniform distribution on the set  $\{1, \dots, N - 1\}$ :*

$$\mu' = (1/(N - 1), \dots, 1/(N - 1)). \tag{6}$$

(ii) *With  $\widehat{\tau}_x = \widehat{\tau}_{x,0} \wedge \widehat{\tau}_{x,N}$ , for each  $x \in \{1, \dots, N - 1\}$ , we have*

$$\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} (1 - 2/N)^{-2n} \mathbb{P}_x(\widehat{\tau}_x > 2n) = (N - 1) \cdot 2^{-(N-2)} \binom{N-2}{x-1}, \tag{7}$$

*and, with  $\mu$  uniform on  $\{1, \dots, N - 1\}$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}_\mu(\widehat{\tau} > 2n) = (1 - 2/N)^{2n}. \tag{8}$$

**Proof.** In our case study,  $\rho = 1 - 2/N$  (the third largest eigenvalue after  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1 = 1$  for  $\widehat{P}$  which is also the dominant eigenvalue of  $\widetilde{P}$ ) and  $\mu = 1/(N - 1) \cdot \mathbf{1}$  can be checked to satisfy  $\mu' \widetilde{P} = (1 - \frac{2}{N}) \mu'$ , and so the Yaglom limit is the uniform distribution. Finally, the right eigenvector  $\psi$  associated with  $\widetilde{P}$  and  $\rho = 1 - 2/N$  can easily be checked to be  $\psi(x) = K \cdot \binom{N-2}{x-1}$ ,  $x = 1, \dots, N - 1$ , for some constant  $K = (N - 1) \cdot 2^{-(N-2)}$  consistently with  $\mu' \psi = 1$ .  $\square$

**4. Conditioning on no-exit in the remote future**

Consider now the proper Markov chain whose transition probability matrix  $\widetilde{\Pi}$  is obtained from  $\widetilde{P}$  by the Doob transform:

$$\widetilde{\Pi}(x, y) = \rho^{-1} \frac{\psi(y)}{\psi(x)} \widetilde{P}(x, y), \quad x, y \in \{1, \dots, N - 1\},$$

satisfying  $\widetilde{\Pi} \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$ . In the matrix form, this transformation reads  $\widetilde{\Pi} = \rho^{-1} D_\psi^{-1} \widetilde{P} D_\psi$ .

The invariant probability distribution  $\widetilde{\pi}$  on  $\{1, \dots, N - 1\}$  satisfying  $\widetilde{\pi}' \widetilde{\Pi} = \widetilde{\pi}'$  exists. Clearly, it is given explicitly by

$$\widetilde{\pi}(x) = \psi(x) \mu(x), \quad x = 1, \dots, N - 1, \tag{9}$$

where  $\mu$  and  $\psi$  are defined in (3). The Markov chain with the transformed transition probability matrix  $\widetilde{\Pi}$  is that of the process whose  $n$ -step probability distribution is

$$\lim_{n' \uparrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_x(\widehat{X}_n = y \mid \widehat{\tau}_x > n'). \tag{10}$$

It corresponds to  $\widehat{X}_n$  conditioned never to hit the coffin state  $\partial$  in the distant future. This process has a unique invariant measure given by  $\tilde{\pi}$  in (9). This second conditioning being more stringent than the previous one, one should intuitively charge more heavily the sample paths staying away from  $\{0, N\}$ . We, indeed, have

**Theorem 2.**

(i) For the Mabinogion urn model, the invariant measure of the corresponding process conditioned on never getting killed in the remote future is binomial  $\text{bin}(N - 2, 1/2)$ , namely,

$$\tilde{\pi}(x) = 2^{-(N-2)} \binom{N-2}{x-1}, \quad x = 1, \dots, N-1. \tag{11}$$

(ii) For the Mabinogion urn model with  $N$  balls, the transition matrix of the corresponding conditioned process is that of the Ehrenfest urn model with  $N - 2$  balls.

**Proof.**

(i) The limiting qsd  $\mu$  was shown to be uniform, and the right eigenvector  $\psi$  associated with  $\widehat{P}$  and  $\rho = 1 - 2/N$  was checked to be  $\psi(x) = K \cdot \binom{N-2}{x-1}$ ,  $x = 1, \dots, N-1$ , where  $K = (N-1) \cdot 2^{-(N-2)}$ . Equation (11) follows from (9). Note that, in contrast to the uniform Yaglom limit law  $\mu$ , the probability mass of  $\tilde{\pi}$  is more concentrated on the central atoms close to  $N/2$ , away from  $\{0, N\}$ .

(ii) It follows from  $\tilde{\Pi} = \rho^{-1} D_\psi^{-1} \widehat{P} D_\psi$  and the expressions of  $\psi$ ,  $\widehat{P}$  and  $\rho$ . For instance, with  $\tilde{P}(x, x+1) = x/N$ ,

$$\tilde{\Pi}(x, x+1) = \frac{N}{N-2} \binom{N-2}{x-1}^{-1} \frac{x}{N} \binom{N-2}{x} = 1 - \frac{x}{N-2},$$

which is the transition probability,  $x \rightarrow x+1$ , of the Ehrenfest model with  $N - 2$  balls. □

**5. Conditioning on exit in state  $\{N\}$**

Consider again the reversed BD chain,  $\widehat{X}_n$  with the transition matrix  $\widehat{P}$  where both states  $\{0, N\}$  are absorbing. Define the scale (or harmonic) function  $\varphi$  of this BD RW as the function which makes  $M_n := \varphi(\widehat{X}_{n \wedge \widehat{\tau}_{x,0}})$  a martingale. The function  $\varphi$  is important because, as is well known, for all  $0 < x < N$ , with  $\widehat{\tau}_x = \widehat{\tau}_{x,0} \wedge \widehat{\tau}_{x,N}$  the first hitting time of  $\{0, N\}$  starting from  $x$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\widehat{X}_{\widehat{\tau}_x} = N) = \mathbb{P}(\widehat{\tau}_{x,N} < \widehat{\tau}_{x,0}) = \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi(N)}, \tag{12}$$

gives a closed-form expression of the probability of exit at  $\{N\}$  before hitting  $\{0\}$ . The searched harmonic function is  $\varphi(x) := 1 + \sum_{y=1}^{x-1} \psi(y)$ , where  $\psi(y)$  satisfies  $\widehat{q}_y \psi(y-1) = \widehat{p}_y \psi(y)$ , with  $\psi(1) := 1$ . Thus  $\psi(y) = \prod_{z=1}^y \frac{\widehat{q}_z}{\widehat{p}_z}$  and so, with  $x = 1, \dots, N$ ,  $\varphi(0) := 0$ :

$$\varphi(x) = 1 + \sum_{y=1}^{x-1} \prod_{z=1}^y \frac{\widehat{q}_z}{\widehat{p}_z} = 1 + \sum_{y=1}^{x-1} \prod_{z=1}^y \frac{p_z}{q_z} = 1 + \sum_{y=1}^{x-1} \binom{N-1}{y} \tag{13}$$

is a cumulative binomial sequence. Note  $\varphi(1) = 1$ . Let us consider the problem of conditioning  $\widehat{X}_n$  on exiting in state  $\{N\}$ . Let  $D_\varphi := \text{diag}(\varphi(0), \dots, \varphi(N))$ . The transition matrix  $\widehat{Q}_c$  of this conditioned RW is given in terms of the Doob transform [6]:

$$\widehat{Q}_c = D_\varphi^{-1} \widehat{P} D_\varphi. \tag{14}$$





(ii) From (i), we have  $\mathbb{E}(\widehat{\tau}_{1,N}^c) = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{1-\lambda_k}$  and

$$\sigma^2(\widehat{\tau}_{1,N}^c) = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (1-\lambda_k)^{-2} - \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (1-\lambda_k)^{-1}.$$

Because the eigenvalues  $\lambda_k$  are known leading to  $1-\lambda_k = \frac{2k}{N}$ , using the integral approximation

$$\mathbb{E}(\widehat{\tau}_{1,N}^c) \sim N \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2} \frac{dx}{(x+1/N)},$$

we easily get

$$\mathbb{E}(\widehat{\tau}_{1,N}^c) \sim \frac{N}{2} \log N \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^2(\widehat{\tau}_{1,N}^c) \sim \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^2,$$

showing that  $\sigma^2(\widetilde{\tau}_{0,N}/\mathbb{E}(\widetilde{\tau}_{0,N})) \sim (\log N)^{-2} \rightarrow 0$  as  $N \uparrow \infty$ . (iii) follows from this last statement.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Blom G 1989 Mean transition times for the Ehrenfest urn model *Adv. Appl. Probab.* **21** 479–80
- [2] Claussen J C 2007 Drift reversal in asymmetric coevolutionary conflicts: influence of microscopic processes and population size *Eur. Phys. J. B* **60** 391–9
- [3] Collet P, Martinez S, Méléard S and San Martín J 2009 Quasi-stationary distributions for structured birth and death processes with mutations arXiv:0904.3468
- [4] Darroch J N and Seneta E 1965 On quasi-stationary distributions in absorbing discrete-time finite Markov chains *J. Appl. Probab.* **2** 88–100
- [5] Detté H, Fill J A, Pitman J and Studden W J 1997 Wall and Siegmund duality relations for birth and death chains with reflecting barrier. Dedicated to Murray Rosenblatt *J. Theor. Probab.* **10** 349–74
- [6] Dynkin E B 1965 *Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Bände 121, 122* (New York: Springer)
- [7] Dynkin E B 1965 *Markov Processes* (Translated with the authorization and assistance of the author by J Fabius, V Greenberg, A Maitra, G Majone) vols I, II (New York: Academic)
- [8] Ehrenfest P and Ehrenfest T 1907 Über zwei bekannte Eingewände gegen das Boltzmannsche H-Theorem *Z. Phys.* **8** 311–4
- [9] Feller W 1968 *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications* vol 1 3rd edn (New York: Wiley)
- [10] Fill J A 2009 The passage time distribution for a birth-and-death chain: strong stationary duality gives a first stochastic proof *J. Theor. Probab.* **22** 543–57
- [11] Feinsilver P and Kocik J 2005 Krawtchouk polynomials and Krawtchouk matrices *Recent Advances in Applied Probability* (New York: Springer) pp 115–41
- [12] Flajolet P and Huillet T 2008 Analytic combinatorics of the Mabinogion urn *Fifth Colloquium on Mathematics and Computer Science: Algorithms, Trees, Combinatorics and Probabilities (Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science Proc.)* ed U Röslér vol AI p 549572
- [13] Huillet T 2007 On Wright–Fisher diffusion and its relatives *J. Stat. Mech.* **P11006**
- [14] Kac M 1947 Random walk and the theory of Brownian motion *Am. Math.* **54** 369–91
- [15] Kac M 1959 Probability and related topics in physical sciences. With special lectures by G E Uhlenbeck, A R Hibbs, and B van der Pol. Lectures in Applied Mathematics *Proc. Summer Seminar*, vol 1 (Boulder, CO, 1957) (New York: Interscience) pp xiii+266
- [16] Karlin S and McGregor J 1965 Ehrenfest urn models *J. Appl. Probab.* **2** 352–76
- [17] Keilson J 1979 Markov chain models—rarity and exponentiality *Applied Mathematical Sciences* vol 28 (New York: Springer)
- [18] Pollett P K Quasi-stationary distributions; a bibliography available at <http://www.maths.uq.edu.au/~pkp/papers/qds/qds.pdf>, (regularly updated)
- [19] Scalas E, Martín E and Germano G 2007 Ehrenfest urn revisited: playing the game on a realistic fluid model *Phys. Rev. E* **76** 011104
- [20] Yaglom A M 1947 Certain limit theorems of the theory of branching random processes *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)* **56** 795–8 (Russian)